

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/436,920	11/09/1999	SHRINIWAS OHIA	062891.0320	7304
75	90 08/07/2003			
BAKER & BC			062891.0320 7304 EXAMINER MIRZA, ADNAN M	NER
2001 ROSS AV DALLAS, TX			MIRZA, A	DNAN M
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2141	,
			DATE MAILED: 08/07/2003	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
•	09/436,920	OHIA, SHRINIWA	s
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Adnan M Mirza	2141	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days,	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. a reply within the statutory minimum of th	a reply be timely filed airty (30) days will be considered timely	
 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by s Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the reamed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	statute, cause the application to become A	ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ommunication.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>09436920</u> .		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a	•	•	e merits is
closed in accordance with the practice ur Disposition of Claims	nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application	ation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	·		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	<u></u>		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection	<u> </u>		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _		disapproved by the Examin	er.
If approved, corrected drawings are required 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	• •		
	е схапшиет.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		0.440(.) (1) (6)	
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			_
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International* See the attached detailed Office action for a second control of the action for a second control o	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for don	nestic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).
a) The translation of the foreign language	•		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No	3) 5) Notice of	v Summary (PTO-413) Paper Not f Informal Patent Application (PTo	

'Application/Control Number: 09/436,920

Art Unit: 2141

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims1, 4-7,10-14,16,18-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being unpatentable by Flood et al (U.S. 4,937,777).

As per claim 1 Flood disclosed a system for communicating management information, comprising: a first interface card; a second interface card; and a management card coupled to the first interface card and the second interface card (col. 5, lines 65-67 & col. 6, lines 1-22 & col. 4, lines 18-22), the management card operable to receive a command from a client, the command identifying an interface card or a network device associated with an interface card (col. 5, lines 21-26); establish a communication link between a client and a particular one of the first interface card and the second interface card selected in response to a command communicated by the client (col. 4, lines 50-61); and communicate management information using the communication link (col. 4, lines 61-67).

- 2. As per claims 4, 11 & 18 Flood disclosed wherein the communication link comprises a serial communication path (col. 10, lines 1-4).
- 3. As per claim 5 Flood disclosed wherein the command comprises information selecting one of the first interface card and the second interface card (col. 5, lines 12-26).

Application/Control Number: 09/436,920 Page 3

Art Unit: 2141

4. As per claim 6 Flood disclosed wherein the management information comprises information used to configure a network device associated with the particular interface card (col. 5, lines 12-26).

- 5. As per claims 7 & 14 Flood disclosed a method for communicating management information performed by a management card (col. 5, lines 65-67 & col. 6, lines 1-3), comprising: receiving a command from a client, the command identifying a particular one of a first interface card and a second interface card (col. 5, lines 23-25); establishing a communication link between the client and the particular interface card in response to receiving the command; and communicating management information using the communication link (col. 5, lines 12-20).
- 6. As per claim 10 Flood taught a method further comprising operating the client to generate the command and the management information (col. 4, lines 65-67).
- 7. As per claims 12 & 19 Flood disclosed wherein the command comprises information selecting one of the first interface card and the second interface card (col. 5, lines 12-26).
- 8. As per claims 13 & 20 Flood disclosed wherein the management information comprises information used to configure a network device associated with the particular interface card (col. 22, lines 63-67).
- 9. As per claim 16 Flood disclosed wherein the processor is further operable to communicate management information using the communication link (col.5, lines 21-26).
- 10. Claim 21 has the same limitation as to claim 1 therefore under the same limitations claim21 can be rejected.

'Application/Control Number: 09/436,920

Art Unit: 2141

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2,3,8,9,15,17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Flood et al (U.S. 4,937,777) and further in view of Schneider et al (U.S. 6,304,895).

As per claim 2,8,15 Flood teaches the invention as claimed as discussed above; however, Flood failed to disclose wherein the management card comprises: a switch operable to establish the communication link between the client and one of a first port and a second port; a memory operable to store mapping information associating the first port with the first interface card and the second port with the second interface card; and a processor coupled to the memory and the switch, the processor operable to: receive the command; determine the port associated with the particular interface card using the mapping information; and command the switch to establish the communication link between the client and the determined port information; and command the switch to establish the communication link between the client and the determined port. In the same field of endeavor Schneider disclosed the control applications (220 and 240) utilize a multi-window architecture (e.g., the Multiple Document Interface (MDI) to support control for multiple target devices. When a target computer's window gains focus, the target controller automatically sends the appropriate key stroke sequence to the switch to select the corresponding

Art Unit: 2141

switch port of that target computer (col. 14, lines 8-17); In one embodiment of the system of the present invention, the user (with the help of a configuration file or configuration "wizard") manually establishes the correlation between the name of a system and its switch/port number. In light of the fact that this manual process can be cumbersome, especially when are tiered in a hierarchy, an alternate embodiment utilizes an automated configuration process. In that embodiment, the switches utilize one of the keyboard or mouse ports or separate dedicated communications port to pass information from the target devices or switches up to the target controller (col. 14, lines 26-36); In one embodiment of the system of the present invention, the user (with the help of a configuration file or configuration "wizard") manually establishes the correlation between the name of a system and its switch/port number (col. 14, lines 26-30); In an alternate embodiment, two or more different users may connect to the same controller. In this embodiment the two or more users may control different control cards or may share access to the same controller card. In this embodiment, the captured GDI calls for a controller card are routed to the appropriate remote control software. Likewise, a user may be connected to the multiple control cards on one or more cards simultaneously (col. 14, lines 49-56); the control applications (220 and 240) utilize a multi-window architecture (e.g., the Multiple Document Interface (MDI) to support control for multiple target devices. When a target computer's window gains focus, the target controller automatically sends the appropriate keystroke sequence to the switch to select the corresponding switch port of that target computer (col. 14, lines 9-17). It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have incorporated the disclose wherein the management card comprises: a switch

operable to establish the communication link between the client and one of a first port and a

Page 6

'Application/Control Number: 09/436,920

Art Unit: 2141

second port; a memory operable to store mapping information associating the first port with the first interface card and the second port with the second interface card; and a processor coupled to the memory and the switch, the processor operable to: receive the command identifying a particular interface card; determine the port associated with the particular interface card using the mapping information; and command the switch to establish the communication link between the client and the determined port information; and command the switch to establish the communication link between the client and the determined port as taught by Schneider in the method of Flood to do multitasking and make resource management more efficient.

12. As per claims 3, 9 & 17 Flood disclosed wherein: the first interface card is coupled to a first network device that uses a first operating system the second interface card is coupled to a second network device that uses a second operating system (col. 4, lines 33-49); and the processor is further operable to configure the management information for the operating system of the network device associated with the particular interface card (col.4, lines 61-67).

As to applicants arguments the response are as follows:

13. Applicant argued that the prior art failed to disclose particular functionality performed by the "management card" and "receive a command from a client, the command identifying an interface card or a network device associated with "an interface card" or a network device associated with an interface card" or establish a communication link between a client and a

Application/Control Number: 09/436,920

Art Unit: 2141

particular one of the first interface card and the second interface card selected in response to the command communicated by the client".

Page 7

As to applicant's argument the Flood disclosed "a program execution module completes a functional chart step, it send a command to the program execution module 18 containing the next step to be executed. The command identifies the next step to be executed. The command identifies the next step and instructs the program execution module to begin executing it (col. 5, lines 21-26). The system controller 16 may be also connected via a cable to a local area network over which it may receive data and programming instructions, as well as issue status information and report data to a host computer. This enables a central host computer or central terminal to program and control the operation of a plurality of programmable controllers on a factory floor (col. 4, lines 61-67).

Applicant's arguments were not persuasive therefore action is made final.

Conclusion

14. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/436,920

Art Unit: 2141

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

- 15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Adnan Mirza whose telephone number is (703)-305-4633.
- 16. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday during normal business hours.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Wiley can be reached on (703)-308-5221. The fax for this group is (703)-746-7239.

17. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

(703)-746-7239 (For Status Inquiries, Informal or Draft Communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT"); (703)-746-7239 (For Official Communications Intended for entry, please mark "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE"), (703)-746-7238 (For After Final Communications).

'Application/Control Number: 09/436,920

Art Unit: 2141

18. Any Inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703)-305-3900.

Any response to a final action should be mailed to:

BOX AF

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C.20231

Or faxed to:

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to 4th Floor Receptionist, Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA 22202.

AM

Adnan Mirza

Examiner

RUPAL DHARIA
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 9